

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/815,061	CHAUDHURI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Khanh B. Pham	2166

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Khanh B. Pham, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen Siu, Applicant's representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 April 2007

**Time:** 4pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

6-7, 9, 15, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
The examiner suggested adding the limitation "wherein the database objects are database statements from a database workload" to independent claims 9, 15, 23 to further define and limit the scope of the claims, and to be consistent with claim 1. Claims 6-7 also need to be amended to correct antecedent basis. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's Amendment..